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AUDIENCE ANALYSIS YOF A SPECIAL FORMAT RADIO STATION

# A Managenent Too for Public Radio Programming 

## CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

## The Station

WFIU-FM, a broadcast service of Indiana University, Bloomington, began operation in 1950 as a laboratory for student radio training with programming of short segments of music and instruction. As 'sţaff, and resources increased, the station expanded its schedule and developed a serious-music format. An extensive record library and association with the University's School of Music have encouraged this specialized music format.

Today, WFIU-FM potentially reaches 35 counties in southcentral Indiana with 18 hours of programming daily. Approximately 75 percent of the programming consists of classical music; often featuring locally produced or syndicated concerts. The remaining schedule is devoted to news, cultural and public affairs programming. This category includes regular local daily newscasts, locally-produced children's news shows and phone-in live discussion programs. About 70 percent of the programming is locally originated (mostly utilizing recordings); the remainder is from National Public Radio, news wire services and music syndication . services.

## Audience

WFIU-FM is one of three radio stations licensed to Bloomington. The others, jointly owned WTTS-AM and WTIV-FM, at the time bisis study was conducted, programmed a mix of Country \& Western', Rock and Top 40 music on local-personality shows interspersed with petwork and regional UPI news.

The potential WFIU-FM audience includes abqut 800,000 listeners.

Bloomington, with approximátely 50,000 residents, culturai center of the operating area. Though Indianapolis and Terre Haute, larger metropolitan areas with a combinfd population of 1.5 million, fall just within the 50 microvolt contour, there is no stable WFIU signal in these cities and little audience response from these areas:

The reports of American Research Bureau (ARB) audience estimates supplied by the Corporation for Public Broadcasting indicate a very small; sporadic audience for WFIU-FM. The figures from the May, 1973 ARB ratings sweep are invalid because of the extremely low in-tat sample from the rural counties of the WFIU-FM signal contour. These counties are dominated by Indianapolis stations, appear low in FM penetration and have an extraordinary rate of unreturned and incomplete diaries. Because the Indianapolis' stations have difficulty selling this audience at the far edge dethe survey area, there is little pressure from commercial subscribers be the Arbitron radio service to improve the projections, nor have any stations commissioned special ratings surveys. As an example of the kind of projection errors these survey deficiencies generate, the figures from the May, 1973 ARB ratings sweep supplied by CPB indicated that WFIU-FM had an average weekly reach of 7,000 listenerg all of whom were adult men.

- The estimates based on the May, 1974 sweep suffer from the same problems but at least are complete. They report less than $100 \mathrm{WFIU}-\mathrm{FM}$ listeners during an average weekday quarter-hour and about 200 listeners during an average weekend quarter-hour. Weekly unduplicated reach is estimated at 4,700 . These estimates indicate an average station audience Pating for the Total Survey Area of about one-tenth of one percent, far below the error margin of the ARB methodology: In short, the Arbitron methodology cannot measure an audience as small as the estimates indicate.

Another shortcoming of the ARB estimates is failure to survey the university student population. Students do not live in one place long enough to establish a telephone listing catalogued by the firms
delivering the sample names to ARB. The May survey is during, the university break between second seméster and summer school, a time when 30,000 potential listeners are away from campus. Thus an important part of the target audience of WFIU-FM is eliminated from the survey procedure. As a result, WFIU-FM, a station dedicated to a specialized service, has had no valid, systematic data.

Need for Audience Data. "During its twenty-fóur years of development of a serious-music and cultural affairs programming schedule, WFIUFM believes it has built a small, extremely loyal audience. Though no effort has been made to measure the audience or systematically gauge its programing preferences, minor schedule changes and preempted programming generate complaints from irritated listeners. A small amount of fan mail also indicates which programs or schedule changes are relatively popular. The need for accurate audience estimates is especially needed in making decisions concerning program and format development.

## Programming $\frac{\text { Decisions }}{}$

Though the Federal Communications Commission has not yet formalty required ascertainment of community needs for public radio stations, WFIU-FM has operated in recent years on the policy basis of ascertaining community needs and responding to these local needs with broadcast programming. The selection of which community issues are appropriate to the mission and character of a university station with a long tradition of specialized service has created programming dilemmas. In an effort to reach a broader spectrum of the station's potential audience, and provide the kind of discussion of public issues desired by the seriousmusic listener, WFIU-FM has added programs of public and local affairs discussion, phone-in shows and live coverage of community affairs.

It seems from calls and letters that loyal listeners; long accustomed to the extensive music format, are impatient with these efforts to broadcast more public service programming. On the other hand, there is no evidence that these, or shorter new programs such as local farm .market reports, inserted into the music format, are attracting a new or wider audience.

Many stations, in keeping with program policy statements of the FCC, are attempting broader programming services designed to serve the various groups within the overall community. Yet this implies that specialized radio stations become all-purpose services, a transition some listeners consider a disservice. This same problem cunfronts many commercial and public radio stations, but it is more acute for WFIU-FM in Bloomington because of its long history of specialized programing to a special interest audience which is numercially small in a radio market which has few radio services.

WFIU-FM program managers are reluctant to make further format for schedule changes or to increase the number of public service programs because of the lack of reliable information about both the existing audience and programming preferences of the potential audience. The ARB statistics, even if reliable in the Bloomington market, would indicate the sif and demographics of an audience only after the programs have been broadcast. Letters, phone calls and other unsystemátic audience input are unreliable because they are not drawn from a representative sample. Analysis of market factors such as record saies, format of competing stations, and the successes of stations with similar programming problems cannot provide useful data because these do not account for either the specific characteristics of the WFIU-FM audience or the tradeoffs and consequences of real programming decisions. General Purpose

The general purpose of this study is two fold. First, to acCurately survey the listening habjits and programming preferences of both the listeners and non-listeners of WFIU-FM. Second, to develop, test and evaluate the usefulness to management of a new teçhnique for decision-making in programming of specialized radio. This approach, .. termed audience programming, utilizes survey techniques "which focus on projecting the audience response to program changes in format and scheduling. It provides a model of fistening behavior of ${ }^{k}$ a specific audjence based on survey data rather than mathematicial constructs. "Audience prógramming presents the respondents' radio listening and programming preferences in a way that station managers can use in programming deci-
sions , The data should provide a decisional base upon whiah a program -airector can plan an orderly and controlled improvement in audience size and reach.

Specific Goals. Specific questions for inquiry coincide with information needs of WFIU-FM which are characteristic of specialized public radio stations:


- To gather valid data concerning the extent, demographics, attitudes toward radio programming and the listening habits of the current audience,
- To measure the relative popularity of the variouc pfograms and program types in the present WFIU-FM schedule and to determine audience flow across changes in programs,
- To determine how much continuity of format is necessary to hold a serious-music audience,
- To determine what the current audience would prefer to - hear--or hear more of--,
- To determine whether the music audience remains tuned for community affairs programming, and
- To determine whether the public affairs programing attracts an audience not attracted to serious music,
- To determine the optimum scheduling of the current program inventory,
- To determine the program and format preferences of nonlisteners and correlate these with demographic information. available,
- To determine whether the current listeners' needs could be met by a reduced schedule of serious music,
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- To determine which current programs could be altered, rescheduled or dropped without alienating current listeners,
- To determine whether there are any percéived radio servicea not being supplied by the stations operating in the WFIUFM listening area,
- To project the size of audience gains and losses involved in specific WFIU-FM format changes, including a change to a split or mixed format.

Furthermore, audience data and input compiled and analyzed to answer these questions must be presented in a way which uses éxisting management processes and complies with FCC demands that stations take full $\begin{aligned} \text { responsibility for all progranming decisions. }\end{aligned}$

Assumptions. Though the research presents no specific hypotheses to be tested, there were several assumptions which the researchers expected to be validated by the results of the audience survey. These yere:

1) . Highly specialized radio formats such as classical music programming appeal to a small percentage of the potential audience and are used by these listeners regularly but only for a portion fof the listening day:
2) Listeners selecting serious-music programming will turn to other stations or media if the continuity of the chosen programming is broken.
3) A radio station can satisfy the serious-music audience with clustered, , regular music programming during only part of the air schedule if the service corresponds to listening habita.
4) A public radio'station can serve a number of distinct, independent segments of the public as long as the programming also is distinst and regularly scheduled, and the station adgpts an imate of community service rather than identifying with a particular masic type.
5) There are identifiable services which will attract a new segment from the WFIU-FM listening area and which vill not alienate the serious-music listener educated to the station's responsibility to serve needs of other audience. segments.

## General Methodology

The study utilizes two measuring instruments: i) a listening diary and 2) an audience "programmer." Chapter II explains the specific procedure used for the listening diary; Chapter IV explains the specific procedure used for the audience programmer.

The diary is a typical method of projecting radio listenership. The audience programmer is a new technique designed to permit members of the radio audience to indicate their programing and scheduling preferences within the programing options generated by station management.

The programmer presents the respondent with a printed time sched-. ule sheet for the survey period and a sheet of perforated, gummed stamps representing programs of various types and lengths. There is a stamp in the programmer for each program in the station's weekly schedule and
some stamps for program types not now in the program inventory. 'The : respondent "schedules" the station in the manner which he considers to be most useful and then affixes the appropriate programming stamps. For example, there is a stamp for each day that "All Things Considered" is available from National Public Radio. Each stamp identifies the program, its source, length, provides a one-line description, and indicates any scheduling limits such as "not available before $4: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. " The subject chooses to schedule "All Things Considered" as often and at the timbihe desires. The limits to the options available to the respondent, however, are determined by WFIU-FM management within the realistic constraints of time, staff and budget. Detailed instructions and programming "rules" are included with the programmer.

The important benefit of the new programmer technique is that respondents are required to "trade off" various types of programing within constraints generated by management. Thus, the procedure gathers respondent data relative to audience needs and desires within a set of controlled options.' Utilizing the technique on both listeners and non-listeners permits the research team to locate programming areas and scheduling slots which are either compatible between the two groups or so distributed that the station need not sacrifice one group to provide radio service for the other.

The materials and procedure of the programmer were carefully designed using constraints and data from WFIU-FM program directors. The entire process was pretested; and the materials were prepared by professional artists. The programmer provides integral data concerning respondent preference to program type and scheduling; but does not assign importance. That is, the data generated by the programmer indicate how many listeners prefer "All Things Considered" daily at 6:00 p.m.; but do not indicate how strongly they feel about this preference or what the exact reasons for the preference are.

Chapter $V$ gives the results of the Audience Programmer survey. Chapter VI presents interpretations of the results of the study.

CHAPTER II

AUDIENCE SURVEY* PROCEDURE

## Placement of Survey Dlaries

The Diary: The audiepce of radio programing in southcentral
Indiana waş neasured. by a diary survey of individual listeners in fifteen counties. The diary is a booklet in which respondents were asked to report each instance of radio listening during the four-day survey period of Saturday, March 15, through Tuesday, March 18. The instructions printed in the diary asked that respondents list the day, time, duration, type of signal and station call leters of eack instance of radio listening. The diary booklet also asked for the age, sex, county of residence and occupation of the respondent. Sample listings were included in the diary, and a toll-free number was given for those with further questions. A sample of the survey diary is presented in Appendix $A$.

The General Survey. Two separate, but overlapping samples of listemers weré chosen to participate in the audience survey. A random ; sample of all listeners weighted by distance from WFIU-FM and grouped by county waschosen froin the current phone directories of the 15 counties. Within each county, each residential listing had equal chance of being setected. Counties vere also grouped by proximity to Monroe County. Six countierfadjacent td Monzoe County are referred to as the proximate counties; the dight counties bordering the proximate counties are referred to as remote counties, as indicated in the coverage map presented in Table 2.1. The distribution of diaries by county is presented in Table 2.2.

Trained interviewers telephoned the selected individuals of Monroe county and asked cooperation in accepting a diary for listing of the person's radio listening. Interviewers identified themselves as from the Institute for Communication Research of Indiana University currently studying how people in Indiana use radio. No incentive was offered. The rate of acceptance of the Monroe County residets contacted was 47 percent. Those selected who lived outside Monroe County were not contacted by telephone but were sent the radio diary with a cover letter 7
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$\downarrow$

requesting cooperation. A copy of the, letter is presented in Appendix B. "The "iaries with pre-paid return postage were mailed first-class from Bloomington on March 10.

Survey of Interested Listeners. The radio use of all listeners. in the 15 counties was estimated from the random sample. The second sample provided a measure of the radio use of listeners who had expressed. some interest in WFIU. For the general survey, respondents were chosen randomly from telephone listings. For the survey of interested listeners, respondents were chosen randomy from a list of approximately 3,000 subscribers to the free monthly ${ }^{\text {FFIU }}$ program guide, Direction's in Sound. All other definitions and procedures are identical to those described for the general survey. Results of the two surveys are reported separately.

Distribution of diaries for the survey of interested listeners is presented in Table 2.2 The small numbers of diaries placed in some counties conrespond to the small number of addresses in the mailing list for those areas.

In all, 23 diaries were returned by the postal service as undeliverable. The researchers received three phone inquiries from recipients oi diaries. Each was minor problem quickly clarified.

Preparation of the Data Base. All diary entries were transferred at face value by trained coders to optical scon sheets. Reported radio use was coded in 15 -minute instances. A listening instance is the reported viewing of one person listening to one station for five minutes or more of a 15 -minute period. The information coded for each listening. instance was 1) age, sex and county of residence of the listener, 2) the day and time of the listening, 3) the radio station heard.

1) AGE. For convenient analysis, listeners were grouped by age into the foklowing categorice standard in radio audience studies:

2) TIME. Time was measured in quarter-hour segments from 6:00 a.m. Each quarter-hour of listening between 4:00 a.m. and 5:59 a.m. was recorded in the category "before 6:00 a.m." Likewise, listening between lad $5 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$, and 3:59 a.m. was recorded in the category "after

1:45 a.m." For the purpose of further analysis, the quarter-hour segments were grouped in day parts, periods reflecting general distinctions in the radio programming day. These time divisions are:

## PERIOD

Early Morning Mid-morning Hoon Afterinoon Evening Night Late-Kight

HOURS INCLUDED
Before 8:00 a.m.
8:00 a.m. through 10:59 a.m. 11:00. t.m. through 12:59 p.m. 1:00 p.m. through 4:59 p.m. 5:00 p.m. through 7:59 p.m. 8:00 p.m. through 10:59 p.m. After 10:59 p.m.
3) STATION, Each viewing instance was attributed to a radio station coded by call letters and AM-FM designation.

Data were then transferred to cards for computer analysis using programs of the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences to generate distributions and analysis of listening. The predetermined .05 level of confidence was used in all statistical measures.

Rate of Diary Return. Of the 718 diaries actually placed, 254 were returned for an overall response rate of 34 percent. The response rate for the general sample alone was 25 percent; that for the selected ${ }^{\circ}$ sample alone was 55 percent. The distribution of returned diaries and rate of return is presented in Table 2.3 by sample and county. inese. diaries of the general sample recording the radio listening 131 fndividuals provided the gample of the radio listening of the full populathon of 490,000 persons in the 15 counties studied. The diaries of the 123 individuals chosen randomly from the subscription list of Directions in Sound providela the sample representative of WFIU-FM listeners.

Validity of generalizing from Sample. The size of pample and amount of variation within the measured variable determine the validity and accuracy of inferences made about the characteristics of the whole based on study of the characteristics of the sample. Gifven a sample size, precision is determined by the formula: ${ }^{1}$


TABLE 2.3
RETURN OF DIARIES BY COUNTY FOR GENERAL SAMPLE AND SELECTED SAMPLE

| County | General <br> N. Percent |  | Selected <br> N. Percent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monroe | 96 | : 48 | 71 | 71 |
| Proximate |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ Brown | 4 | 13 | 5 | 33 |
| Jackson | 7 | 23 | 4 | 57 |
| Lawrence | 4 | 13 | 5 | 36 |
| - Greene | 1 | 3 | 3 | 30 |
| Owen | 3 | 10 | 4 | 33 |
| Morgan | 4 | 13 | 7 | 47 |
| Remote |  |  |  |  |
| Bartholomew | 3 | 18 | . 5 | 50 |
| Washington | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| Orange | 1 | 6 |  | 50 |
| Martin | . 0 | 0 | 3 | 43 |
| Daviess | 3 | 18 | 1 | 25 |
| Clay | 2 | 12 | 3 | 50 |
| Putnam | 0 | 0 - | 5 | 50 |
| Total | $131$ | 25 | 123 | 55 |

where $T=$ the tolerance or precision of estimates. The discrepancy between estimates of the population based on diary sample and results of survey of all radio listeners.
$P C=$ the preliminary estimate of variation in the population. Based on recent studies of the radio listening, a conservative prelimipary estimate of variance of 85 was used. That is, listening of about 15 percent of radio listeners varies from overall audience patterns.
$z=$ the number of standard error units which are found from a normal probability table to correspond to required probability. Probability is the degree of assurance that estimates of the population are within the precision range, т.
$N_{S}=$ sample size.
Utilizing the formula for the general sample:
$\mathrm{T}^{2}=\frac{85(100-85) 1.96^{2}}{131}=\frac{1275(3.8416)}{131}={ }^{\prime} 37.389^{\prime} 6$
$T=6.1$
Thus the error range is 6.1 percent which is well within the normal range of predictability for a mass audience study. Estimates based on the random diary sample will be within $\pm 6.1$ percent of the actual population of all radio. Iisteners in the surveyed counties 95 our of 100 times.
二a. - .. Utilizing the formula for the selected sample, the error range is 6.3 percent. Error rates are greater, however, when results of subsamples are reported. The sub-sample size for the proximate and remote counties for the general group was 35, giving an error range of over 11 percent. With an error margin this large, most changes in audience size and composition cannot be distinguished from chance occurrences. No data will be reported for sub-samples in cases where results or audience estimates are of doubtful validity because of small sub-sample sizes.
${ }^{\text {Hilliam L. Hays, Statistics, (New York: Holt, Rinehart, \& Winston, }}$ Inc.; 1963).

CHAPTER III

## RESULTS OF RADIO AUDIENCE SURVEY

Radio Use in Southcentral Indiana
This section presents the demographics and radio use estimates from the general audience survey only.

Listeners. Sex and age of the listener were analyzed both as; attributed.to the listening instance and to individual respondents. Sixty-nine ( $52.7 \%$ ) of the respondents are women, 49 ( $37.4 \%$ ) are men. Thirteen respondents ( $9.6 \%$ ) did not indicate their sex. Their reported ages by groups áre:


Distribution of all listening by sex of the listener indicates 29.5 percent of the 6,448 quarter-hours of total radio use was by men; 61, $9-$ percent was by women. In 554 listening instances $(8.6 \%$, the sex of the listener was not reported.

The age of the listener was also not reported in 8,6 percent of the listening instances. The distribution of listening by age of the viewer in groups is reported in Table 3.1.

Though radio is popularly considered a yoúng people's medium, persons in southcentral Indiana over 35 years of age listened to more radio than persons 12 through 34 years of age. Listeners between 35 and 49 years of age are the only group with radio use notably heavier than that of other groups.

The distribution of county reflects the weighted sampling and the return rate of individual countiés. Residents of Monroe

County account for 61.8 percent of all radio use surveyed. Residents of the six counties proximate to Monroe County account for 27.1 percent; residents of the remote counties account for the remaining 11.1 percent.

TABLE 3.1
GENERAL SAMPLE
DISTRIBUTION OF LISTEINING BY AGE OF LISTENER.
IN AGE GROUPS


Day and Time. The distribution of the 6,448 quarter-hours of radio use reported by the 131 listeners of the general sample indicate less listening on Sunday than on the other survey days. Table 3.2 presents the listening distributed by days:

TABLE 3.2
GENERAL SAMPLE
DISTRIBUTION OF LISTENING BY DAY


Listening distributed across the hours of the day quite evenly. Listening was light before $7 o^{\prime} c l o c k$ a.m., then maintained a plateau
 Listening decreased until $3^{\prime} o^{\prime}$ clock p.m.; increased steadily until around 5 o'clock p.m.; again decreased until 7 o'clock p.m.dand. maintained an evening level of about half the morning audience. Listening again fell off after $11 o^{\prime}$ clock ${ }^{\circ}$ p.m. Table 3.3 presents listening distribution by quarter-hour segments, combining the four .survey days, and all counties of both AM and FM use. Table 3.4 prèsents the same distribution by day parts.

Station Use. Overall, AM and FM listening were equal. Some differences between AM and FM use by day and day-part indicate listener preferences, however. Table 3.5 presents station use by type by day and day-part for all counties combined. Listeners indicated heavter use of AM during morning hours when listening was heaviest, except on Sunday when FM use was heavier. During afternoon, evening, night and late-night hours, however, listeners used FM-band stations more than AMband stations. Preference for FM stations was strongest during the evening and night hours, the times when news and information programs are scheduled. Analyses of AM-FM listening by listeners' age, sex and county of residence show few exceptions to the overall 50-50 division. Homen divided their listening equally between $A M$ and $F M$ stations. Men' l'istened to FM 60 percent of the time, to AM 40 percent of the time. Teenagers used AM stations 56 percent of their listening whereas listeners between 18 and 25 years of age tuned to FM stations 75 percent of their listening time. All other age groups, accounting for 67 percent of all listeners, used $A M$ and $F M$ stations equally.

Residents of Monroe County listened to AM stations 60 percent of their listening time whereas those living outside Monroe County used more FM than AM. Respondents of the six proximate counties listened to FM 71 percent of their listening time. Those living in the remote counties, whose listening constituted 11 percent of the total, used FM 54 percent of the

TABLE 3.3
GENERAL SAMPLE
DISTRIBUTION OF LISTENIṆG BY TIME OF DAY


TABLE 3.3 - Continued
${ }^{4}$


## TABLE 3.4

GENERAL SAMPIE
DISTRIBUTION OF LISTENING BY DAY PARTS

| Time Period 9 | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| Early Morning (4:00-7:59 a.m.) | *********** $12.2 \%$ |
| Mid-Morning (8:00-10:59 a.m.) | ********************* $22.3 \%$ |
| Noon (11:00 a.m. - 12:59 p.m.) | ************* $14.0 \%$ |
| Afternoon (1:00-4:59 p.m.) | ********************** 23.1 \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Evening (5:00-7:59 p.m.) | *********** $12.3 \%$ |
| Night (8:00-10:59 p.m.) | ********* 9.4\% |
| Late-Night (11:00 p.m. - 3:59 a.m.) | ****** $6.7 \%$ |


| , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *TABLE 3.5,STATION USE BY TYPESAMPLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day-Part | Percentage of Listening by Day and Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Saturday |  | Sunday |  | Monday |  | Thesday |  | Combined |  |
|  | AM | FM | AM FM |  | AM ${ }^{\text {c }}$ FM |  | AM FM |  | AM | .FM |
| Early Morning | 57.0 | 43.0 | 45.554 .5 |  | 63.136 .9 |  | 67.4 | 32.6 | 61.8 | 38.2 |
| Mid-Morning | 62.637 .4 |  | 42.2 | 57.8 | 58.241 .8 |  | 57.142 .9 |  | 56.9 | 43.1 |
| Noon | 59.3 | 40.7 | 50.949 .1 |  | 57.043 .0 |  | 50.249 .8 |  | 54.9 45.1 |  |
| Afternoon | 54.3 | 45.7 | $44.3 \quad 55.7$ |  | 43.156 .9 |  | 43.5 - 56.5 |  | 46.6 | 53.4 |
| Evening | 50.0 | 50.0 | 51.548 .5 |  | 31.168 .9 |  | 37.162 .9 |  | 40.7 | 59.3 |
| Night | 42.3 | 57.7 | $41.2 \quad 58.8$ |  | 36.563 .5 |  | 36.263 .8 |  | 38.8 | 61.2 |
| Late Night | 22.0 | 78.0 | $42.0 \quad 58.0$ |  | . 59.740 .3 |  | $48.7 \quad 51.3$ |  | 41.0 | 59.0 |
| Combined | 54.2 | 45.8 | 45.4 | 54.6 | 50.2 | 49.8 | 49.8 | 50.2 | 50.4 | 49.6 |

time. This pattern gi radio use is contrary to the popular assumption that $F M$ penetration and use is lower in rural areas and higher in more urbanized locations.

Qverage Share of the Audience. Respondents of the entirt survey area listened to 56 different stations during the survey period. Twentytwo of these were FM. The twenty most listened to stations accounted for 82.1 percent of all listening. Table 3.6 presents in rank order the. stations with an average audience share of one percent or greater for the four survey days for Monroe County listeners only, and for all other counties of the survey combined.

WTTS, Bloomington, with a mixed format of top 40 , country and rock music, dominated the Monroe County market with 39.2 percent of all radio listening. WTMS consistently attracted the largest share of the Monroe County qudience except during some late-night periods and Sunday mornings. WTYTS had no audience in the other counties with the exception of Owen (21.1 average share) and Lawrence ( 3.4 average share) to give it an overall rating of eighth in the category of the combined proximate and remote courities.

Five of the top six stations in Monroe County and five of the top seven station's in the other counties are FM. WNAP-FM, Indianapolis, ranked second in Monroe County and sixth in the surrounding counties with a format of rock music. WIFE-FM, Indianapolis, fourth in Monroe County, is an automated station programming easy listening music. WFBQ-FM, Indianapolis, also automáted, programmed all-hit music. WFIU-FM, licensed to Indiana University, Bloomington, programmed classical music and public affairs. It was fifth in Monroe County and first in the other counties on the basis of its strength in Brown County ( 31.6 average share--based on four diaries), owen County ( 46.3 average share--based on three diaries) and Mörgan County (47.0 average share-ibased on four diaries). No WFIU-FM use was reported in the remaining proximate and remote counties.

The relative ranking of stations' share of audience illustrates the problems of predicting audiencerestimates from small sub-samples. First,

TABLE 3.6
UNERAL SAMPLE
RANK ORDER OF LEADING STATIONS
BY AVERAGE AUDIENCE SEARE

the ranking reflects the low rate of diary return of the proximate and remote counties. Statistically, for this group of counties there is no significant difference in shares of audience of less than 11 percent difference.

Secondly, the ranking reflects the local nature of radio. When data of all these counties are combined, a strong local station which clearly dominates listening in its home county may rank lower than a station with moderate appeal in several counties. The problem of lack of accuracy because of'small sample size can be avoided by combining the data of these counties with those of Monroe County, but this aggravates the second problem of individual counties being overrepresented. Because of this conflict between the de~ mands of accuracy and completeness, the listening data of the proximate and remote counties are not included in the following estimetes of stations' share of audience.

Leading Stations' Share of the Audience. For each quarter hour during the four survey days, Table 3.7 presents audience data for Monroe County alone: the overall audience rating, the rating and share of the audience of WFIU-FM programing, and shares of WITS and WIFE-FM. These two stations were chosen as representative of stanion types offering clear contrast in. "sound" and programing to WFIU-FM.

All figures correspond to the standard definitions of audience measurement terms. The overall rating for a given time is the estimated number of persons listening to radio expressed as a percentage of all persons over 12 years of age in the survey area. The rating of a show indicates its overall attractiveness to the mass audience. The share of audience is the estimated number of persons listening to the program or station expressed as a percentage of all persons listening to radio during the particular time period. The share of audience indicates a program's strength in relation to the programing of other stations.

For example, at ten o'clock Saturday morning, March 15, WFIU-FM programmed contemporary chamber masic on the regularly scheduled program "School of Music Concert." At that time an estimated 30 percent of all persons in Monroe County (çerall Rating) were listening to radio. Seven percent of these (WFIU-FM Share) were tuned to WFIU-FM. These listeners constitute two percent (WFIU-FN Rating) of the potential adult listening audience. During the same quarter-hour, WTTS attracted 47 percent (WTHS Share) of all persons in Monroe County using radio at the time, and WIFE-FM attracted Virtually none (WIFE-FM Share) of the listening audience.

GENERAL SAMPLE
MONROR COUNTY AUDIENCE ESTIMATES 'EXPRESSED IN PERCENTAGES

| Saturday, March 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | WFIU Program | Rating |  | Share |  |  |
|  |  | Overall | $\begin{gathered} \text { WFIU } \\ \text { FM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WFIU } \\ & \text { FM } \end{aligned}$ | WTTS | $\begin{gathered} \text { WIFE } \\ \text { FM } \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 AM | Morning | 12 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{*} 67$ | 8 |
| :15 |  | 13 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 8 |
| :30 | $\checkmark$ | 13 | - 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 |
| :45 |  | 15 | 1 | 7 | 80 | 0 |
| 8 |  | 120 | 1 | 5 | 75 | 0 |
| : 15 |  | 24 | 2 | 8 | 67 | 0 |
| :30 |  | 25 | 3 | 12 | 60 | 0 |
| :45 |  | 24 |  | 4 | 63 | 0 |
| 9 |  | 24 | 1 | 4 | 58 | 0 |
| ':15 |  | 25 | 3 | 12 | 52 | 0 |
| :30 |  | 27 | 3 | 11 | 48 | 0 |
| :45 | ; | 26 | 2 | 8 | 54 | 0 |
| 10 | School of Music Concert | 30 | 2 | 7 | 47 | 0 |
| :15 | (Contemporary Chamber | 31 | 1 | 3 ' | 45 | 0 |
| :30 | Ensemble) | 29 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 |
| :45 |  | 26 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 |
| 11 | - . | 25 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 4 |
| :15 |  | 26 | 0 . | 0. | 35 | 4 |
| :30 |  | 24 | 0 | 0 | 38 | , 4 |
| , :45 |  | 24 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 4 |
| NOON | Metropolitan Opera | 21 | $\cdots$ | 0 | 34 | 4 |
| :15 | (Wagner) | 19 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 5 |
| :30 |  | 17 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 6 |
| :45 | $!$ | 19 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 5 |
| 1 PM |  | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 5 |
| : 15 |  | 17 | . 0 | - 0 | 18 | 6 |
| : 30 |  | 16 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 6 |
| :45 | , | 16 | 1 | 6 | 13 | 6 |
| 2 |  | 17 | 1 | 6 | 29 | 6 |
| :15 | . | 16 | 1 | 6 | 31 | 13 |
| :30 |  | 15 | 1 | 7 | 33 | 13 |
| :45 |  | 13 | 1 | 8. | 31 | 8 |
| 3 PM | - | 17 | 1 | 6 | 35 | 6 |
| :15 |  | . 19 | 1 | 5 | 32 | 5 |
| : 30 | * | 19 | 1 | 5 | 32 | 5 |
| :45 | $\because 0$ | 17 | 1 | 6 | 24 | 6 |

TABLE 3.7 - Continued
Saturday, March 15


Sunday, March 16

| Time | WFIU Program | Rating |  | Share |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Orerail | $\begin{gathered} \text { WFIU } \\ \text { FM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WFIU } \\ & \text { PM : } \end{aligned}$ | WTIS | $\begin{gathered} \text { WIFE } \\ \text { FM } \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 AM | Morning | 3566 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 0 67 <br> 0. 60 <br> 17 50 <br> 17 50 |  | 0201717 |
| :15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  | 9 | 1 | 11 | 56 | 22 |
| :15 |  | 10 | 1 | 10 | 60 | 20 |
| :30 |  | 8 | 1 | 13. | 63 | 25 |
| : 45 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 14 | 57 | 29 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & : 15 \\ & : 30 \\ & : 45 \end{aligned}$ | ' | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 17171311 | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \\ & 67 \\ & 50 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | 17171311 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Cleveland Orchestra | 10 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| :15 | (Severance Hall | 12 | 2 | 17 | 8 | 8 |
| :30 | Concert) | 9 | 3 | 33 | 0 | 11 |
| :45 | (oncert | 8 | . 2 | 25 | 0 | 13 |
| 11 | - | 12 | 3 | 25 | - 17 | 8 |
| :15 |  | 12 | 3 | 25 | 17 | 8 |
| :30 | - | 11 | 2 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| :45 |  | 12 | 1 | 8 | 17 | 17 |
| NOON | Haydn, Berloiz, Nares, Beethoven, Mas senet, Donizetti | 13 | 1 | 8 | 31 | 15 |
| 12:15 |  | 11 | 0 . | 0 | 36 | 18 |
| :30 |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 20 |
| :45 |  | 12 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 17 |
| 1 PM |  | 14 | 1 | 7 | 29 | 14 |
| :15 |  | 13 | 1 | 8 | 28 | 15 |
| :30 |  | 15 | 1 | 7 | 27 | 20 |
| :45 | - | 15 | 2 | 13 | 27 | 20 |
| 2. | Sunday Opera | 15 | 1 | 7 | 27 | 20 |
| :15 |  | 15 | 1 | 7 | 20 | 20 |
| :30 |  | 14 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 29 |
| :45 |  | 13 | 1 | 7 | 15 | 31 |
| 3 | , - | 9 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 33 |
| :15. |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 30 |
| :30 |  | 11 | 1 | $\because 9$ | 27 | 28 |
| :45 | $\cdots$ | 12. | 1 | 8 | 25 | 25 |

TABLE 3.7 - Continued
Sunday, March 16

| Time | WFIU Program | Rating |  | Share |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Overall | $\begin{gathered} \text { WFIU } \\ \text { FN } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WFIU } \\ & \text { FM } \end{aligned}$ | WTIS | $\begin{gathered} \text { WIFE } \\ \text { FM } \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 |  | 12 | 1 | 8 | 42 | 17 |
| :15 |  | 11 | 1 | 9 | 36 | 18 |
| :30 | Voices in the Wind | 10 | 0 | 0 | 40 | - 10 |
| :45 |  | 10 | 1 | 10 | 40 | 10 |
| 5 |  | 6 | 1 | 17 | 50 | 0 |
| :15 |  | 7 | 1 | 14 | 43 | 0 |
| :30 | On Stage in Recital | 6 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| :45 | H2.17 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| \% 6 | , | 4 | 1 | 25 | 0 | - 0 |
| :15 |  | 5 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| :30 | All Things Considered | 4 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| +:45 |  | 5 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Grieg, Danzi, Nielsen | 7 | 1 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| :15 |  | 8 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 12 |
| :30 |  | 9 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| :45 |  | - 10 | 1 | 10 | 20 | 10 |
| 8 PM | Encore! | 8 | 1 | 13 | 25 | 12 |
| :15 |  | 8 | 1 | 13 | 25 | 0 |
| :30 |  | 8 | 1 | 13 | 25 | 0 |
| :45 |  | 8 | 1 | 13 | 25 | 0 |
| 9 |  | 8 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 |
| :15 |  | 8. | 0 | 0 | . 38 | 0 |
| " 30 |  | 9 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| :45 |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| 10 | News | 6 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 |
| :15 | In Recital. | 5 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| :30 |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 |
| :45 |  | 4 | 1 | 25 | 25 | 0 |
| 11 |  | 7 | 1 | 14 | 29 | 0 |
| :15 | Del Tredici, Bach, and | 6 | - 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 |
| :30 | Mendelssohn | 6 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| :45 |  | 6 | 1 | - 17 | 17 | 17 |




TABLB 3.7 - Continued

| Monday, March 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | WFIU Program | Rating |  | Share |  |  |
|  |  | Overall | WFIU <br> FM | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { WFIU } \\ \text { FM } \end{gathered}$ | WTTS | $\begin{gathered} \overline{W I F E} \\ F M \end{gathered}$ |
| 4$: 15$$: 30$$: 45$ |  | 14. | 0 |  | 29 |  |
|  |  | 18 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 14 |
|  |  | 17 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 12 |
|  |  | 19 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 11 |
| 5 PM | All Things Considered | 20 | 1 | 5 | 30 | 5 |
| -15 |  | 16 | 1 | 6 | 19 | 6 |
| : 30 |  | 15 | 1 | 7 | 13 | 7 |
| :45 |  | 15 | 1 | 7 | 13 | 13 |
| 6 |  | 8 | 2 | 25 | 13 | 0 |
| :15 |  | 7 | 2 | 29 | 14 | 0 |
| :30 | Schubert, Hummel, | 9 | 2 | 22 | 11 | 0 |
| , 45 | Dutilleux | 8 | 2 | 25 | 13 | 0 |
| 7 |  | 10 | 1 | 10 | 20 | 10 |
| :15 |  | 11 | 1 | 9 | 18 | 9 |
| :30 |  | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 |
| :45 |  | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 |
| 8 | Music Down Home | 9 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 11 |
| :15 |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 10 |
| :30 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 29 | - 14 |
| :45 | - | 8 | 0 . | 0 | 25 | 13 |
| 9 | I'm Sorry, I'll Read | 10 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 10 |
| :15 | That Agtin | 8 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 |
| :30 |  | 8 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 |
| :45 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 |
| 10 | News | 9 | 1 | 11 | 22 | 0 |
| :15 |  | 9 | 1 | 17 | 22 | 0 |
| :30 | First Hearing | $6^{\circ}$ | 2 | 33 | 50 | 0 |
| :45 | - | 5 | 2 | 40 | 60 | 0 |
| 12 |  | 8 | 2 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| . :15 | Bruckner, Copland, | 9. | 2 | 22 | 44 | 0 |
| :30 | Quantz and Reubke | 7 | 1 | 14 | 43 | 0 |
| .:45 |  | 6 | 0 . | 0 |  | 409 |
| Midnig |  | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 12:15 |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | $67^{\circ}$. |  |
| - 130 | $\cdots \cdots$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 100. | $\cdots$ |
| :45 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| 1 AM | News | 2 | . 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. |

TABLE 3.7 - Continued

| Tuesday, March 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tipe | WFIU Program | Rating |  | Share |  |  |
|  |  | Overall | $\begin{gathered} \text { WFIU } \\ \text { FM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WFIU } \\ \text { FM } \end{gathered}$ | WTITS | $\begin{gathered} \overline{W I F E} \\ F M \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 AM | Morning (with Terry | 22. | 1 | 5 | 77 | 5 |
| :15 | Dryer) | 26 | 1 | 4 | 73 | 4 |
| :30 |  | 20 | 1 | 5 | 60 | 5 |
| :45 |  | 17 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 6 |
| 8 |  | 18 | 2 | 11 | 61 | 6 |
| :15. |  | 18 | 2 | 11 | 61 | 6 |
| :30 |  | 20 | 2 | 10 | 50 | 5 |
| :45 | , | 14 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 7 |
| 9 |  | 19 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 11 |
| :15 |  | 21 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 10 |
| :30 |  | 22 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 9 |
| :45 | , | 21 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 10 |
| 10. | Music from Inter- | 26 | 1 | - 4 | 58 | 12 |
| :15 | - lochen | 26 | 3 | 12 | 50 | 12. |
| :30 | . | 21 | 2 | 10 | 38 | 14 |
| :45 |  | 20 | 2 | 10 | 40 | 15 |
| 11 |  | 20 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 15 |
| :15 |  | 18 | 1 | 6 | 39 | 11 |
| :30 |  | 17 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 12 |
| :45 |  | 16 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 13 |
| NOON ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | Rimsky-Korsakov; | 19 | 1 | 5 | 47 | 11 |
| 12:15 | . Beethoven, Cop- | 17 | 1 | 6 | 47 | 12 |
|  | 'land, Brahms, | 12 | 1 | 8 | 33 | 17 |
| :45 | Purcell | 12 | 1 | 8 | 33 | 17 |
| 1 PM |  | 9 | 1 | 11 | 22 | 22 |
| :15 | . $\quad$ | 3 | 1 | 11 | 22 | 22 |
| :30 |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 30 |
| :45 |  | 12. | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| 2 |  | 14 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 14 |
| :15 |  | 15 | 3 | 20 | 13 | 13 |
| :30 | Benjamin Britten | 17 | \& 3 | 18 | 18 | 12 |
|  | Mozart, Chopin, Debussy | 15 | 2 | 13 | 20 | 13 |
| 3 PM |  | 11 | 2 | 18 | 18 | 9 |
| :15 |  | 10 | 2 | 20 | 20 | 10 |
| :30 | . | 12 | 3 | 25 | 8 | 8 |
| :45 |  | 11 | 2 | 18 | 9 | 9 |

TABLE 3.7 - Continued

| Tuesday, March 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | WFIU Program | Rating |  | Share |  |  |
|  |  | Overail | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { WFIU } \\ F M \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { WFIU } \\ \text { FM } \end{array}$ | WTIS | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{WIFE} \\ F M \end{gathered}$ |
| 4$\begin{aligned} & : 15 \\ & : 30 \\ & : 45 \end{aligned}$ | There | 12 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 8 |
|  |  | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 8 |
|  |  | 19 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 5 |
|  |  | 19 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \cdot: 15 \\ & : 30 \\ & : 45 \end{aligned}$ | All Things Considered | $\cdots{ }^{\circ}$ | 1 | 6 | - 44 | 0 |
|  |  | 14 | 1 | 7 | 29 | 0 |
|  |  | 12 | 2 | 17 | 25 | 0 |
|  |  | 14 | 3 | 21 | 21 | 0 |
| 6$: 15$$: 30$$: 45$ | Jazz Yesterdays | 15 | 4 | $27^{\circ}$ | 27 | $\times 0$ |
|  |  | 13 | 4 | 31 | 23 | . 0 |
|  |  | 12 | 4 | 33 | 17 | 0 |
|  |  | 10 | . 4 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & .7 \\ & : 15 \\ & : 30 \\ & : 45 \end{aligned}$ |  | 8 | 4 | 50 | 12 | 0 |
|  | , - | 6 | 3 | 50. | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 6 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
|  | " | 6 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| 8$\begin{aligned} & : 15 \\ & : 30 \\ & : 45 \end{aligned}$ | Rapline- | 9 | 0. | 0 | i1 | 0 |
|  |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
|  |  | 10 | 0 | ' 0 | 20 | 0 |
|  | - . ${ }^{\text {• }}$ | 11 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & : 15 \\ & : 30 \\ & : 45 \end{aligned}$ | Lassus, Mendelssohn, Schoenberg, Haydn | 8 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 |
|  |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | . 29 | 0 |
|  |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| 10 | News | 12 | 1 | 8 | 33 | 0 |
| :15 |  | 11 | 1 | 9 | 27 | 0 |
| :30 | The Yocal Scene | 13 | 1 | 8 | 31 | 0 |
| :45 |  | 12 | 1 | 8 | 42 | 0 |
| 11 PM |  | 7 | 1 | 14 | 29 | 0 |
| $: 15$$: 30$ | , - | 6 | 1 | 17 | 33 | 0 |
|  | - Ether Game | 8 | 1 | 12 | 25 | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & : 30 \\ & : 45 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$ | 7 | 1 | 14 | + 29 | 0 |
| Midnight |  | 6 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| 12:15 |  | 6 | i | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 130 \\ 4 \\ : 45 \end{array}$ |  | 4 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 0 |
|  | - • | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 AM | News | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | gig |  |  |  |  |  |

WFIU-FM Use in Other Counties. The only counties among the proximate and remote counties reporting any listening to WFIU-FM during the survey period were Brow, Owen and Morgan. Audience patterns of station use are similar to those listed for Monroe County. WFIU-FM ratings vary slightly between zero and several percent. The share of audience for WFIU-FM in these counties varied according to time of day and the program schedule of other stations rather than WFIU-FM program changes.

Crosstabulations of Reported Listening. Tables 3.8 through 3.11 preseft a series of crosstabulations of all the listening data compiled from the general sample for the four survey days combined. All counties are combined. Because of the weighted distribution of the survey, this grouping over-represents Monroe County; the center of the study's interest. The data reflect how radio was used in terms of some variables within the audience and its listening habits.

Tables 3.8, 3.9 and 3.10 present further information related to a the listeners' choice of station. These tables present the general survey data from all counties, over-representing Monroe County ilisteners. Table 3.8 presents the distribution of station use by time grouped in day parts. Two numbers appear in each cell of the cross tabulations tables. The upper number in each cell is the row percentage--in this case, the share of the listening for the particular station within the time period (row). The lower number in each cell is the total percentage--the percentage of all listening (all rows and columns combined) attributed to the particular station in the particular time period.

For example, the numbers in the cell at the intersection of WFIUFM (column) and Mid-Morning (row) are 9.0 and 2.1 The upper figure, 9.0, indicates that WFIU-FM attracted a listening audience which was 9.0 percent, of all those listening to radio during the Mid-Morning time period. It is the share of audience for the time period for the total survey area. WITS attracted four times the WFIU-FM audience during the same period; all other stations listed show a smaller share. The lower figure, 2.1, indicates that this same audience is 2.1 percent 'of all radio use represented in' the tablemothat is, the combined listening to all stations during all time periods.

TABIE 3.8

> GENERAL SAMPLE
> DISTRIBUTION OF LISTENING OF TOTAL SURVEY AREA BY TIME PERIODS BY STATION EXPRESSED IN ROW PERCENTAGE AND PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL


Table 3.9 presents the distribution of reported listening by station and age of listeners. Table 3.10 presents the distribution of reported listening by station and sex of listeners. Table 3.11 presents listening distributed by time periods by age groups.


GENERAL SAMPLE
DISTRIBUTION OF LISTENING OF TOTAL SURVEY AREA
BY AGE GROUPS BY STATION EXPRESSED
IN ROW PERCENTAGE AND PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL


TABLE 3.10
GENERAL SAMPLE
DISTRIBUTITION OF LISTENING BY SEX OF ${ }^{\prime}$ LISTENERS BY STATION EXPRESSED IN COLUMN PERCENTAGE

| Sex of Listener | Station |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WTTS | WFIU-FN | WFBQ-FM | WNAP-FM | . WIFE-FM |
| Men. | 10.8 | 23.3 | 37.3 | 25.4 | 19.5 |
| Women | 68.8 | 76.7 | 60.6 | 74.6 | 77.5 |
| Not Gi एen | 20.4 | 0 | 2.1 | . $0^{\circ}$ | 3.0 |

GENERAL SAMPLE
distribuition of Listening for total survey area
BY TIME PERIOD BY AGE GROUP EXPRESSED
IN ROW PERCEMTIAGE AND COLUNT PERCEWTAGE


## ' Regutis of the Survey of Selected Listeners

This secthon reports results of the survey of selected listeners only. The sanple consisted of a random selection of individuals who had expressed their interest in WFIU-FM by asking to be placed on the mailing list for Directions in Sound. '

The completed diaries reported 6,685 quarter-hours of radio use by 123 persons. Ninety-five ( $77.9 \%$ ) of these listened to WFIUFM for one half-hour or more during the four-day survey period. Twenty-three listeners (18.8\%) reported only WFIU-FM listen ng. These account for 30.3 percent of all WFIU-FM use reported. Twenty-seven ( $22.1 \%$ ) reported no WFIU-FM listening and seven (5.7\%) reported no radio listening throughout the period. The diary entries of the radio users within this selected sample show strongłindivitual patterns of station selection and switching, indicating highly selective radio listening.

Listeners. Sex and age of the listener were analyzed as attributed to the listening instance rather than the individual surveged. pistribution of listening by sex indicated that $53.4^{\circ}$ percent of ${ }^{\prime}$ all listening was by men; 42.2 percent was by women. In the remaining 289 instances ( $4.4 \%$ ), sex of the listener was not reported. On WFIU-FM alone, 54 percent of all listening was by men, 44 percent by women.

The age of listeners ranged from 16 to 83 years. Approximately seven percent of the listening was by individuals who chose not to report their age. Distribution of listening by age in groups is reported in Table 3.12. It is an older audience than that reported in the general survey (Tabie 3.1) with more than 70 percent of all listening by persons over 35 years of age.

Table 3.13 sumarizes the percentage distribution of radio use by county of the listener. While Monroe Countr accounted for 56.7 percent of the listening, the remainder ( $43.4 \%$ ) was nearly equally divided between the six adjacent counties ( $21.7 \%$ ) and the eight rafrote counties (21.6\%). .

TABLE 3.12
SELECTED SAMPLE DISTRIBUTION OF LISTENING BY AGE OF LISTENER IN AGE GROUPS

table 3.13


* No diaries wére returned from Washington County.

Day and Time. The 6,685 instances of radio listening were rather evenly divided throughout the four-day survey, with slightly heavier ; listening on Saturday. Table 3.14 presents the listening distribution by days. The distribution is similar to that reported by listeners in the general survey except that Sunday listening is greater.

The distribution of radio listening throughout the day did not vary significantly from that reported by the listeners of the general survey (Table 3.3). Two slight differences occur, however. The listeners of the selected sample used slightly less radio before seven

TABLE 3.14
SELECTED SAMPLE
DISTRIBUTION OF LISTENING BY DAYS

| Day | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| Saturday, March 15 | "\#*******", |
| Sunday, Márch 16 |  |
| Monday, March 17 |  |
| Tuesday, March 18 |  |
| - | $1$ |

O'clock in the morning and slightly more radio between nine and eleven o'clock in the evening. Table 3.15 presents the listening distribution by time of day in day parts.

Station Use. Respondents showed a strong preference for FM-radio, spending 79 percent of their listening time on FM stations, 21 percent on AM stations. Respondents tuned to 58 different radio stations during the survey. Eleven accounted for 87 percent of listening. WFIU-FM due to the manner in which the select sample was chosen dominated all other stations with a 59.6 share of ail listening. Table 3.16 sumarizes the data on station usage for the top eleven stations. Each of the remaining. 47 stations received less than one percent of the listening instances.

SELECTED SAMPLE DISTRIBUTIOK OF LISTEAING BY DAY PARTS

| Time Period | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| Early Morning (before 8:00 8.m.) | ******** (8.0\%) |
| Mid-Morning (8:00-10:59 a.m.) | ******************* ( $21.7 \%$ ) |
| Noon (11:00 a.m.-12:59 p.m.) |  |
| Afternoon (1:00-4:59 p.m.) | ************************ ( $24.4 \%$ ) |
| Evening (5:00-7:59 p.m.) | ************* ( $13.4 \%$ ) |
| Night (8:00-10:59 p.m.) | **********\#\#\#. ( $13.1 \%$ ) |
| Late-Night (after 11:00 p.m.). | ****** (5.9\%) |

table 3.16
SELECTED SAMPLE
DISTRIBUIION OF LISTENING BY STATION


Crosstabulations. Tables 3.17 through 3.20 present a series of crosstabulations of reported listening utilizing the variables of listeners' age group and county, day part and station of listening. Tables 3.17 through 3.18 present information pertaining to audience distribution by age, day part and county acróss all radio listening instances reported during the survey. Two numbers appear in each. cell of the tables. The upper number is the row percentage--the percentage share of the listening reported in a particular row; the lower number is the total percentage--the percentage share of the listening reported during the entire study. Tables 3.19 and 3.20 summarize information pertaining to the age of listener and day part of listening for each of the 11 stations utilized most often during the survey.

## TABLE 3.17

SELBCIED SAMPLE
dISTRIBUTION OF LISIENING BY AGE BY COUNTIES EXPRESSED IN ROW PERCENTAGE AND PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL


TABLE 3.18
SELECTED SAMPLE
DISTRIBUTION OF LISTENING BY DAY PART BY COUNTIES EXPRESSED IN ROW PERCESTAGE AND PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL


TABLE 3.19
SELECTED SAMPLE
DISTRIBUTION OF LISTIMING BY STATION BY AGE EXPRESSED IN ROW PERCENTAGE AND PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL


SELECTED SAMPLE
DISTRIBUTION OF LISTENING BY STATION BY DAY PART EXPRESSED AS ROW PERCENTAGE AND PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL


Description and Procedure
The survey reported in Chapters II and III used standard audience measures to indicate the programs and stations actually listened to during the survey period. The audience programmer, however, was designed to give radio listeners a chance to fpdicate the radio programs and scheduling they would prefer if available. This chapter describes the audience programer and its use.

Attempts to systematically survey radio listemers for data indicating programming choices and schedules for the optimum audience have included door-to-door canvassing and brief questionnaires. While thege methods generate useful information, assoc̣iated problems have decreased their validity, Radio program directors, as a result, generally prefer to make programming changes based on their professional judgment, advice pf consultants or successful programming experimentation.

9 The audience programer attempts to solve the problems of pent and-pencil responses to contemplated or hypothetical programming.changes. First, the limits and options of the listener's choices are determined by station management so that the data.generated are relevant to the station's programing decisions. Secondly, the use of brief program descriptions makes the listener's choice more speçific than preferences between broad program types. Third, the use of a complete program grid' forces the respondent to make individual program choices in the context of all his listening needs. Fourth, the audience programmer is not administered by an interviewer, a condition which promotes respondents to. choose programs they think they should listen to rather than ones they want to listen to. Further, the programmer method is not limited by the time constraints of a telephone interview or questionnaire. The procedure appeals to people's game instinct and strong interest in radio without seeming another "scientific survey." Finally, the technique results in easily addressable integral data. -

Audience Programmer Materials. The audience programmer was built around a series of gumed, perforated stamps which represent individual radịo programs. The respondent chose the programs he preferred and arranged them into a scheduling grid to reflect his listening preferences. The program scheduling grid used in the experimental application of the audience programmer was a 26 by 17 inch sheet printed to accept a one by two inch program stamp for each half-hour between seven o'clock in the morning and. 12:30 in the morning for three days, Sunday through Tuesday. Arrangement and labeling of the time blocks were designed so that the time designations were not hiḍden as the program stamps were applied. A sample of the program schedule is provided in Appendix C.

The stamps representing radio programs includedi l) programs broadcast on WFIU-FM and 2) alternative programs compatible with the station's programming philasophy and within budget and personnel limits. For current programs, titles, short descriptions and program limits were taken from the program $\log$ and then checked by station staff for accuracy.

All WFIU-FM management and staff, and several researchers of the Institute for Comunication Research were asked for ideas and program descriptions of alternative programs. This request generated over 200 program descriptions. Station management then seiected from these the 40 programs considered clear alternatives to current programs yet viable given budget and policy limits. Alternative programs which were not clearly distinguishable by the brief description from current programs were eliminated. Two stamps were included which allowed the respondent to schedule a program of his own creation. This process resulted in 35 stamps representing 28 hours of alternative programming and 25 stamps representing the current WFIU-FM programs. A copy of the sheet of stamps is presented in Appendix $D$.

Program "Classification'. The program stamp information of title, description and length were typed one to an index card and presented. to Indiana University. Telecommunications students to sort according to the program categories recommended by the Federal Communications Commission. ${ }^{1}$

These are: Local Self-Expression, Local Talent, Children's; Educational, Religious, Public Affairs, Editorializing, Political, Agricultural, News, Weather/Market Reports, Sports, Minority, and Entertainment. The cate- $^{\text {C }}$ gory of Editorializing was not utilized because public radio stations are prohibited from editoriel compent. Program tifiles and descriptions were modified until ten persons unfamiliar with the revision process unanimously agreed on the categorizing of all sixty program stamps. Each prögram was then given a code number indicating its program category. Subjects using the audience programmer were unaware of this categorization of programs and, if not regular WFIU-FM listeners, woúld have been unaware of which programs were current and which were alternative programs. Table 4.1 lists the programs in each category.
table 4.1

PROGRAM TITLES CATEGORIZED BY PROGRAM TYPE AND PROGRAM STATUS

| FCC Program Category | Program Status |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WFIU-FM Program | Alternative Program |
| Local Self-Expression | THE ART BEAT CROSS-TALK | THE PEOPLE'S FORUM YOUR GOVERNMENT |
| Local Talent | SCHOOL OF MUSIC CONCERT ON STAGE | FAMILY FORUM on Stage |
| Childrên's | KIDS KATCHUP | MELODIES CHILDREN'S WORLD |
| Educational | FIRST HEARING | THE MONEY TREE WHY STUDY THȦT CONTEMPORARY MUSIC |
| Religious | - - | STORIES ABOUT JESUS RELIGIOUS EXPERIENCES |
| Public Affairs | RAPLINE | CITY COUNCIL |
| + | NATIONAL PRESS CLUB ADDRESS | SWAP SHOP <br> I AM WOMAN |

TABLE 4.1 - Continued

| FCC Program Category | Program Status |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WFIU-FM Program | Alternative Program |
| Political |  | YOUR TAX MONEY |
| Agricultural |  | FARMAREPORT |
|  |  | EARTHSHARE |
| News | ALL THINGS CONSIDERED EVENING NEWS | MONROE COUNTY GAZETIE |
| Weather/Market Reports |  | WALL STREET |
| Sports |  | SPORTS SCENE |
| Minority | SOUNDS OF AMERICA | BLACK LIGHT |
| Entėrtainment | CLEVELAND ORCHESTRA | RADIO THEATRE |
|  | ROCKPIT | NONSTOP. ROCK \& ROLL |
|  | MUSIC FOR THE KEYBOARD | CHAMBER MUSIC CONCERT |
|  | MUSIC DOWN HOME | POP CLASSICS |
|  | CLASSICAL CONCERT | MUSIC TRAVELOGUE |
|  | NPR CONCERT OF THE WEEK | MUSIQUIZ |
|  | LIGHT-CLASSICAL CONCERT MUSIC OF AMERICA | CANDLELIGHT SERENADE GOLDEN YEARS OF RADIO |
|  | ENCORE | KITCHENAID |
|  | IN RECITAL | SYMPHONY OF WORDS |
|  | MORITENG | MUSIC FROM THE HITS |
|  | JAZZ YESTERDAYS | TOP 40 MUSIC |
| . - | I'M SORRY, I'LL READ THAT AGAIN | - - |

Instructions. The instruction sheet directing respondents how to use the audience programmer is presented in Appendix E. The instructions stressed that the respondent should select programs of the most personal interest and appeal--those he would like to hear rather than those he thinks a radio station should broadcast for the general audience.

The instructions indicated how programs over 30 minutes in length could be scheduled for any number of half-hour segments. up to the maximum noted on the stamp, how programs could be repeated or duplicated by
writing the code number in the additional time blocks, and how, the optin stamps were to be used. An example of a property stamped and noted schedule was included.

The. Sample. The audience programmer was sent only to Monroe: County residents who had previously taken part in the study. of the 167 persons who returned the radio listening diary, 122 could be contacted by phone in three attempts. They were thanked for their previous help in the study and asked to participate in this final phase of the study. An incentive of one dollar was offered. Ninety-fopr agreed to participate. The radio listening diaries of these 94 were studied in order to divide the respondents into two groups determined by the amount of their reported listening to WFIU-FM. Those who reported four hours or more of WFIU-FM listening during the four-survey days constituted a group called "WFIU-FM Listeners." Those who reported less than one hour of. WFIU-FM listening during the four-day survey period constituted a group call WFIU-FM Non-Listeners." None of the 94 diaries reported an amount of listening between the one- and four-hour limits.

The packet of audience programmer materials was mailed to the 94 persons agreeing to participate in mid-May. A copy of the letter which accompanied the materials is presented in Appendix F.

4
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RESULTS OF THE AUDIENCE PROGRAMMER

This chapter reports the program choices indicated by respondents utilizing the audience programer, an experimental method of gathering data useful in making radio programming decisions. For efficiency in reporting, programs now in the WFIU-FM program scheduze are termed "current" programs, those not in the present schedule are termed "alternative." The group of respondents who reported four hours or more of listening to WFIU-FM during the listening survey are termed WFIU-FM "listeners;" the group of respondents who reported less than one hour of listening to .WFIU-FM are termed "non-iisteners."

Of the 94 programers mailed to Monroe County residents agreeing to participate, 51 ( $54 \%$ ) were returned. Twenty of these (39\%) were completed by non-listeners, 31 (61\%) by listeners. Results are reported by individual group and collectively. When results of the two samples are combined, the choices of the listeners are over-represented.; Therefore, . combined results are reported alone only when the results of the individual samples do not differ, significantly.

The respondents apparently had no difficulty completing the pro-: grammer according to the instructions. The 51 programers reported 5,047 30-minute program choices during the three-day period presented in the program schedule. Each of these program selections was analyzed according to its program status, program type and time scheduled by the respondent. *

Program Status. WFIU-FM listeners preferred current programs. In filling out their schedules, they chose 61.8 percent current programs and 38.2 percent alternative programing. Non-listeners selected 47.4 percent current programs and 52.6 percent alternative programing.

Program Type. Tabie 5.1 summarizes program choices caitegorized by FCC type. The selections of the listeners and non-listeners varied
only slightly. In the results of each sample, entertainment programming represented approximately, 60 percent of the total program choices while news and public affairs programs.each accounted for about six percent of the total. Religious, political, weather/market reports and sports pram. grams attracted the least interest. The category permitting the responden to design his own program was analyzed separately and results are reported in a subsequent section.

TABLE 5.1
DISTRIBUTION OF CHOICES BY PROGRAM TYPE EXPRESSED

- IN NUMBER OF HALF-HOURS AND PERCENTAGE OF COLUMN TOTAL


Individual Programp. Table 5.2 presents the results of analysis of respondents' choices of individual programs. - Programs are listed by title in the order of the number of half-hours of programming chosen by all respondents combined. This rank does not necessarily represent


DISTRIBUTION OF CHOICES BY INDIVIDUAL PROGRAMS EXPRESSED IN NUMBER OF HALF-HOURS AND PERCENTAGE OF COLUM TOTAL

$\$$

TABLE 5.3 - Continued.

program popularity. A few respondents choosing a two-hour show would place that show higher in the table than a thirty-minute show chosen by three times the number of respondents. The shows with the highest numbbes of hall-hours programed were "Morning," the current three-hour daily format of personality-music-news; and "Classical Concert," a program description representing the music currently broadcast on "Afternoon Concert" and "Early Evening Concert." The third and fourth programs were both alternatives, "Light-Classical Concert" and "Top-40 Music." Overall, 12 programs had two percent or more of all the programming choices of all respondents. Together, these twelve programs account for 44.5 . : percent of the total habr-hours programmed. Of the twelve, ten are music programs. Four are classical music, one light-classical and five popular music. Two of the top twelve programs are news shows. In terms of program status, seven of the top twelve shows are current programs, five are alternatives.

While the pattern of program choices generated by each sample was similar, some variations occurred. The WFIU-listeners selected over twice the percentage of "Classical Concert" than non-listeners who chose twice the percentage of "Nonstop Rock" and "Candlelight. Serenade" as the listeners. Many of the programing options attracted little increst from either sample. Public service programing in the areas of agriculture, finance, religion, sports, and education received little support. Furthermore, entertainment shows of thematic music such as jazz, folk music, music from movies and music for children failed to attract any notable level of support.

Programs of Respondents' Design. Data presented previously summarine the program choices from the options generated by the researchers. Two blank programs were included in the array which permitted each respondent to describe and utilize a program of his own choosing. One blank was described as a public service program of the respondent's own design, the other as an entertainment program. As with other programs, the respondent could extend or repeat the program of his design. The programs suggested by each sample are listed below in terms of program type, program content and number of half-hours programmed.

While both groups suggest.similar types of programing in the area of public service, there is a difference in the area of entertainment. Non-listeners designed country-western music programing while the WFIU-listeners preferred classical music and opera. Generalization from these results is difficult, however, because of the small number of respondents who used the blank programing options in the audience programmer.

PROGRAMS DESIGNED BY NON-LISTENERS

| Entertainment |  | Public Service |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Half-Hours |  | Program Number of Hall-Hours |  |
| Country-Western Music | 96 | Job Opportunities | 1 |
| Spanish Music | 6 | News | 1 |
| Profiles of Locai MusiciansGroups | 8 | I.U. Events | 3 |
| Major League Baseball | 12 | Highlights of City Council | 1 |
| Gospel Music | 2 | Science Newt | 1 |
| Discussion of Contemporary | 1 | Interviews | i |
| Music with Religious |  | Local Consumer Report | 1 |
| Theme |  | Church Services | 1 |

PROGRAMS DESIGNED BY WFIU-LISTENERS

| Entertainment |  | Public Service |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Number of | Number of Half-Hours | Program Number | ours |
| Religious Classical tusic | 1 | Ecology | 3 |
| Classical Music | 28 | Contraception | 3 |
| Sunday Opera | 28 | Gardening Hints | 2 |
| Classical Vocal Music | 9 | News/Weather | 7 |
| Reading Novels | 4 | Church Services | 3 |
| Vocal Scene | 4 | I.U. Activities | 2 |
| Short Stories | 2 | Voices in the Wind | 2 |
|  | . | "Information Please" | 1 |

Crosstabulations. Table 5.3 presents crosstabuiations of program type by day-part for the combined sample. The upper number in each cell of the table is the row percentage, indicating at what.time of day re-

# DISTRIBUTION OF PROGRAMMING CHOICES <br> BY PROGRAM TYPE BY DAY-PART EXPRESSED IN RON PERCENTAGE AND PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL 

| Program Type | 1 |  | Day Part |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { 8:00a.m. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 00- \\ & 10: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:00- } \\ & \text { 12:59 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 00- \\ & 4: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:00- } \\ & 7: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 00- \\ & 10: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Arter } \\ \text { 11:00 .p.m. } \end{gathered}$ |
| Local-SelfExpression | 3.3 | 11.1 | 9.2 | 27.5 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 4.6 |
|  | . 1 | . 4 | . 3 | . 9 | . 7 | . 7 | . 1 |
| Local Talent | 3.7 | 9.8 | 19.5 | 29.3 | 11.2 | 20.5 | 6.0 |
|  | . 2 | / 4 | . 9 | 1.3 | . 5 | . 9 | . 3 |
| Children's | 6.6 | 28.7 | 14.8 | 28.7 | 19.7 | 1.6 | 0.0 |
|  | . 2 | . 7 | . 4 | . 7 | . 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Educational | 3.1 | 10.1 | 19.3 | 21.9 | 17.1 | 19.3 | 9.2 |
|  |  | . 5 | . 9 | 1.0 | . 8 | - 9 | . 4 |
| Feligious | 17.3 | 50.0 | 9.6 | 15.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 0.0 |
|  | . 2 | . 5 | . 1 | . 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Affairs | 1.5 | 19.6 | 16.4 | 21.4 | 15.5 | 20.8 | 4.8 |
|  | . 1 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.5 | . 3 |
| Political | 0.0 | 11.4 | 14.3 | 37.1 | 17.1 | 17.1 | 2.9 |
|  | 0.0 | . 1 . | . 1 | . 3 | . 1 | . 1 | 0.0 |
| Agriculturaf | 38.8 | 16.5 | 24.7 | 10.6 | 8.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
|  | . 7 | . 3 | . 4 . | . 2 | . 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| News | . 9 | $1.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 5.2 | 14.8 | 52.8 | 17.6 | 7.1. |
|  | . 1 | . 1 | . 4 | 1.0 | - 3.6 | $1: 2$ | . 5 |
| Weather/Market | 7.7 | 7.7 | 15.4 | 26.9 | . 19.2 | 23.1 | 0.0 |
| Reports | 0.0 | 0.0 | .1' | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 0.0 |
| Sports | 1.9 | 5.8 | 21,2 | 5.8 | 42.3 | 17.3 | 5.8 |
|  | 0.0 | . 1 | . 2 | . 1 | . 5 | . 2 | . 1 |
| Minority | 3.7 | 12.1 | 4.7 | 26.2 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 19.6 |
| . | . 1 | . 3 | . 1. | . 6 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 |
| Entertainment | 5.7 | 19.7 | 9.5 | 22.1 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 12.9 |
|  | 3.7 | 12.6 | 6.0 | 14.1 | 8.3 | 10.8 | 8.2 |

spondents scheduled the programs of the given type. The lower number in each cell is that cell's percentage of all 5,047 half-hour.jprogram choices reported.

Respondents preferred the small amount of public service programming utilized during morning or afternoon hours. Respondents also preferred entertainment programing during both mid-morning and early afternoon hours, the 'periods of heaviest radio use. There was a strong preiference for nęus programs ín the evening hours.

Analysis of program choices by program type by day of the week showed only minor patterns of note. All choices of religious pro-. grams were scheduled on Sunday. The amount of news, children's and educational programs scheduled on Surfay was slightly lower than that scheduled on weekdays. The amount of programs in the entertainment category remained constant across the three days scheduled.

Tables 5,4 and 5.5 present crosstabulations of program type and title by day-part based on the programming choices of the sample of non-listeners and the WFIU-listeners computed separately. For these tables, the upper number presents the percentage of non-listener choices in the cell; the lower number presents the percentage of WFIUlistener choices in the cell. The upper number is based on an $N$ of 2,031 while the lower number is based on an $\mathbb{N}$ of 3,016 . Few differences between the two samples occurred; but these are of importance when programming for specific audiences. Table 5.5 presents only those programs which achieved a usage of one percent or more of the total half-hours of the combined sample.

Five-Minute News. The programer alrowed respondents to indicate at which hours during the broadcast day they wished to schedule a five-minute news update. Current WFIU-FM practice was to schedule Pive minutes of news/weather at 7:00, $9: 55$, $11: 55$ a.m.; at $2: 30,3: 55$, 7:55 p.m. and at 1:00 in the morning. Longer news programs incIuded "All Things Considered," one-hale hour of news at 10:00 p.m. and 15minutes at 8:45 a.m.

Table 5.6 presents a tabulation of respondents' choice of times

TABLE 5.4

DISTRIBUTION OF PROGRANMIKG CHOICES BY PROGRAM TYPE BY DAY-PART EXPRESSED AS PERCENTAGE OF YON-LISTENER CHOICES (UPPER KUMBER) AND PERCERTAGE OF WFIU-LISTENER CHOICES (LOWER NUMBER.)


TABLE 5.5

DISTRIBUTION OF PROGRAMMING CHOICES BY TITLE BY DAY-PART EXPRESSED AS PERCENTAGE OF NON-LISTENER CHOICES (UPPER NUMBER) AND PERCENTAGE OF WFIU-LISIENER CHOICES (LOWER MUMBER)

| Program Name | Day Part |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { 8:00e.m. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 00- \\ & 10: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11: 00- \\ \text { 112:59 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 00- \\ & 4: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:00- } \\ & 7: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 00- \\ & 10: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { After } \\ & \text { 11:00p.m. } \end{aligned}$ |
| ON STAGE | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| SCHOOL OF MUSIC CONCERT | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| FIRST HEARING | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| NATIONAL PRESS CLUB | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | $\cdots \quad 0.4$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| OPTIONS | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | $<0.1$ |
| RAPLINE | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| ALL THINGSCONSIDERED | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| ENCORE | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| IN RECITAL | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| JAZZ YESTERDAYS | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
|  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| CHAMBER MUSIC CONCERT | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| MOKNiING | 1.6. | 6.6 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 3.3 | 7.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| MUSIC DOWN HOME | - 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.9 | . 0.1 | 072 | 0.0 |
|  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 |

TABLE 5.5 - Continued

| Program Name | Day Part |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { 8:00a.m. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 00- \\ & 10: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 00- \\ & 12: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 00- \\ & 4: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:00- } \\ & 7: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 00- \\ & 10: 59 \end{aligned}$ | After 11:00p.m. |
| MUSIC FOR | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| KEYYOARDS | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| ROCKPIT | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.61 | 0.9 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| CLASSICAL CONCERT | 10.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| LIGHT CLASSICALCONCERT | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.1. | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
|  | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| CLEVELAND ORCHESTRA | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 . | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
|  | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| MUSIC OF AMERICA | $\therefore$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| NPR CONCERT OF THE WEEK | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| EVENING NEWS | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| THE PEOPLE'S FORUM | $0.0^{\circ}$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| SWAP SHOP | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| CITY COUNCIL | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| SOUNDS OF <br> AMERICA | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
|  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| GOLDEN YEARS OF RADIO | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2' | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| SYMPHONY OF WORDS | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | . 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 |

TABLE 5.5 - Continued

| Program Name | $\checkmark$ |  | Day Part |  |  | $\sim$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Before } \\ \text { 8:00a.m. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 00- \\ & 10: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:00- } \\ & \text { 12::59 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 00- \\ & 4: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 00- \\ & 7: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 00- \\ & 10: 59 \end{aligned}$ | After 11:00p.m. |
| RADIO THEATRE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
|  | 0.0, | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| MUSIC TRAVELOGUE | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | $0.2{ }^{+}$ | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| TOP 40 MUSIC | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| NONSTOP ROCK | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| MUSIQUIZ . | . 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| MUSIC FROM HITS | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4. |
| POPULAR CLASSICS | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 , | 0.7 |
|  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| CANDLELIGHT | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0:0 | $2 \cdot 9$ | 0.6 | 0.6 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Respondents' Design | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 | - $2: 2$ | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | -1.6 | 1.0 | 0.4 | $0.2$ |

for the 5 -minute news. Noon was the hour with the most choices scheduled. Ten o'clock at night, evening and mid-morning were also favored times for this news service. The typical respondent scheduled 5-minute news updates six times daily.

- TABLE 5.6

DISTRIBUTION OF SCHEDULED TIMES FOR FIVE-MINUTE NEWS BROADCASTS

$\star$
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INTERPRETATION AND SUMMARY


There were findings in the present study in two separate areas which should be valuable to persons interested in analyzing audiences for special-format radio stations. The first area of findings concern procedure, both with the diary and the audience programmer; the second area deals with audience characteristics and program choices. This chapter summarizes major findings in these two areas.

Procedure Comments
Diary. The diary is a demonstrated means of estimating audience size and demographics during a given period of time. Several conclusions from the present study should be useful to all public broadcast managers in analyzing their audience.

- The percentage of diaries returned limits boti the reliability and accuracy of the data collected. As a consequence, an ample number of diaries should be placed initially to guarantee sufficient return. Experience by the research team in this and previous studies demonstrates that obtaining respondent cooperation prior to diary placement greatly increases the likelihood of having the diaries returned.
- If small audiences are anticipated, a very large number of diaries must be placed in order to assúre representation of that small audience in the sample. This means measuring small audiences may be more costiy.
- Audience data can vary significantly depending on how the sample is chosen. If one assumes that management is interested in programming the tation in a way which increases the audience( $\$$ ) served, then the sample should be. drawn from the genfral population. This should give an accurate picture of what the general population's listening
patterns and preferences are and decisions can be made * on how best to appeal to the various audiences. If one is interested in demonstrating high listenership or support, however, one might select a sample from a population such as those who have.requested program guides. This would fesult in a favorable response pattern and may bé useful depending on the target reader of the study, for example a funder or a politician, However, basing program decisions on smaller selected sample, particularly if it represents a small part of the general population, is probably not prudent and is unlikely to lead to serving larger audience( $\dot{s}$ ). In the present study the differences between the general sample and the selected sample are dramatic and one presumes that significant differences would exist between these groups regardless of the area surveyed.

Audience Programmer. The audience programmer appears to be a useful management tool applicable to major programming decisions of specialformat radio stations. It was designed to survey audience response to projected alternatives in program schedule or program types and to present the responses in a manner useful to station managers in making programming decisions. The programmer was to supply indicators of what programs and program types the audience liked, and at what times they preferred to listen'to these programs.

A number of audience survey methods share this general purpose with the audience programmer. Commercial ratings services provide extensive audience demographics and distributions of listening. Telephone coincidental surveys provide detailed.information about the audience of specific programs. These survey methods give an accurate account of what the audience was listening to--what they chose given the programs available at the time.

These records of audience choices have been used to estimate what the audience would choose if given a different array of programs--what they would prefer if given alternatives. However, to analyze ratings in such a manner assumes a continuity of conditions determining both program
and station choice. These conditions, like those surrounding routine personal choices of minor consequence, change frequently and unsystem-' atically. Thus, it has been necessary to utilize other methodologies to assess the potential value of programming and scheduling changes. $\downarrow$ FIn the past, in-depth interviews, public promotions with feedback, study of programming successes in similar markets and the hiring of program consultants have been utilized to gather this complementary data. The audience programmer is yet another method of gathering this type of data.

Like all methodologies, the audience programmer has both advantages and disadvantages. . It is highly flexible; it collects data relevant to programing decisions in which management has expressed an interest; it can be tailored for a particular audience, segment of the audience or potential audience. The audience programmer can be utilized to determine audience preferences forlspecific schedule times such as Sunday morning, or time blocks such as evenings for an entire week. Thus, it reduces sufvey costs while focusing respondent efforts on the alternatives which management deems to be appropriate. Finally, the program schedules themselwes give a feel for the individual listeners and their patterns of sequencing and clustering pnograms, ${ }_{4}$

The response rate for the qudience programmer was good. Respondents had no apparent problem in following instructions nor in expressing their listening preferences using the materials. All returnéd program'mers were complete and. coherent, indicatirg that respondents knew what they wanted to listen to on radio"and understood the trade-ofis and consequences of their program choices. The programmer appeared to motivate many more interrelated program choices in a controlked context than could be easily obtained by an interview or questionnaire.

The programmer is an economical way to survey audience pieferences, especially when generating large amounts o'f listener data,. It . can be designed, administered and tabulated by mahagement and staff personnel of a station. The cost of the audience programer technique compared to other methods depemds on the type and amount of information wanted and the amount of survey work that can be absorbed under reguiar personnei afiat overhead expenses.

- suof7enqтs.































- Most listeners in the southern Indiana area use AM and FM in equal amounts although AM tends to receive higher use earlier in the day and less as the day progresses-except on Sundays.
- There appeared to be no major differences between the general population and those who would most likaly listen to WFIU-FM in terms of:

> times of radio use,
> amount of radio use, disinterest in public affairs programing, use of radio primarily for entertainment.

- There is a very large percentage of people with FM receivers who never listen to WFIU-FM.
- WFIU-FM maintained a small share of the audience in its home county through audience turnover. There is no program on WFIU-FM which appears to attract a large audience. Most of the listening overall and most of the listening to WFIU-FM in both the general and selected samples is in the mid-morning and mid-afternoon. Because WFIU-FM has only recently gone to a full day oft programming, traditional emphasis on evening programming may still prevail. Placing more attention on programming in the mid-morning and mid-afternoon periods, however, may result in serving a larger audience. Thought might be given, for instance, to the placement of special programs during these periods rather than carrying them only during the nighttime hours.
- WFIU-FM attracts a slightly larger share of the evening and night audience but very few people are using radio - during these times and, hence, the total audience reached is very small. WFIU-FM misses teens completely and they 1 . are the heaviest users of radio at night.
- WFIU-FM attracts an older audience, particularly women,
who use a number of stations to satisfy their programming needs. The notion of a suall, loyal audience is only partially correct? There is a very mall group who listen to WFIU-MM whenever using radiomabout five percent of the KFIU-FM listeners. Others appear to listen to different stations at dffferent times of the day-m the general sample listeners used 56 stations with 20 accounting for 82 percent of the listening; the selected sample used 58 stations with 11 accounting for 87 percent of the listening.
- Those who have demonstrated an interest in WFIU-FM, by . requesting the program guide-d very small number of persons among the potential audience in the station's coverage area-rely more on FM for their listening. They are much older than the general pqpulation. They - utilize the same stations that the general population favors, but in addition they listen to WXIZ-FM, easylistening; WBBM, news; and WILL, classical.
- There is indication that WNUU-FM may be important in three nearby counties (two of which haviq no local station) but the small diary return from these counties dictates caution in generalizing. Kost proximate, and remote counties reported no listening whatsoever to WFIU- . .FM, indicating that its audiepce is largely from its home county. Proper promotion, particularly in those counties wi'thout any local.station, might significantiy increase the audience share.

Programing Alternatives. Both the survey and the audience programmer indicated that a larger audience could be served by WFIU-FN without extensive program changes or costs.

- There is no evidence that audience shifts are program specific. WFIU-FM listening patteris closely parallel the level of general radio use throughout the day.

This leads one to conclude that listeners may be choosing WFIU-FM programs as the least objectionable alternative when they wanty to listen to radio. This indicates that programming changes can be made which should maintain and increase the audience WFIU-FM serves, one of the objectives of public radio, even stations with special formats. -

- The audience programmer indicates a clear demand for more types of quality music even among the present listeners.. Hardly any of the respondents to the audience programmer--listeners or non-listeners--wanted a steady diet of heavy classical music. This was confirmed by the audience survey, for example, when the highest rated of any WFIU-FM programs during the survey period was "Jazz Yesterday." Perhaps concentrating on producing similar programs with a variety of quality music would lead to seriving a larger audience without losing any of the present audience. Planned diversity appears acceptable to present listeners and is probably the best approach to attracting additional listeners at minimal expense.

The people using the audience programmer overwhelmingly chose entertaining programs as opposed to programs which the FCC has deemed important-in serving the public interest, convenience and necessity such, as public affairs programming. Add to that finding ratings--in this and other studies--which show that people don't listen to public affairs programing and a public or commercial station is faced with a dilemma of having to present programs which attract no audience.

If the object is to reach an audience with the public affairs message and thus have efficacy potential,
then public affairs programs have to attract an audience. There is no reason to believe that the presen public affairs programming, which is mostly halfhour or longer talk shows, attracts listeners nor holds those already listening. Perhaps a more effective way of handling the public affairs programs would be to use 60 , 9.0 second segments throughout the day. This kind of programming would take more ingenuity and energy than a half-hour talk show but it has the potential of having a far greater impact. The FCC does not require half-hour public affairs programs, they ask instead how much total time was devoted to public affairs. A public station which wished to be innovative in ${ }^{\circ}$ programming and hoped to appeal to its audience ought to consider various ways of preparing public affairs programming in short segments. Such segments would not drive listeners away and, in reality, have greater influence on, the community.

- It is interesting to note that nearly forty percent of the -programs chosen by WFIU-FN listeners in the audience programmer were different from what is presently available. Changes in programming would appear to be accepted and, in fact, desired. Conversely, nearly half of the non-listeners selected current WFIU-FM programming which probably means there is a substantial audience which would listen if they knew the programming existed. It would seem that WFIU-FM should concentrate on saving those programs which have appeal, introduce others which should have appeal and then engage in strong promotion efforts. WFIU-FM should promote on its own facilities, but obviously this misses the majority of the potential audience. Therefore, other media must be used if the station hopes to achieve penetration among the large group of potential listễners which is not now using the station.
- There appears to be strong interest in news, particularly at rioontime. It also appears that a regular five' minute newscast on the hour would be acceptable, even if program continuity was interrupted. There was a three to one preference for a half-hour news block in the 5:00 to 7:30 p.m. period rather than at 10:00 p.m. Because of the small number of persons who use radio at night - (which was discussed earlier), news efforts would be more productive if concentrated at other times of the broadcast day. There appears to be a small but consistent demand for "All Things Considered." Because the number of listeners to the program grows as the program progresses, a larger audience may be served by beginning the program at a slightly later time. People are likely turning it on once they arrive home and many are not yet home at 5:00 p.m.
- WFIU-FM may wish to develop musical bridges which could be used to proyide continuity during major breaks in music. These could also be used between the programs and the newscasts, and around the public affairs segments. In fact a do-nut type bridge might be quite appeaiing and successful. This bridge music would help establish the sound of WFIU-FM and create an audio identity for the station. It would also suggest that WFIU-FM would be returning to music momentarily. Public broadcast programming should be upbeat to attract the different segments of its audience. Granted, "jingles" smack of comercialism, but comercial use of musical bridges has one objective in mind--to maintain onincrease the audience share. Public stations attempting to serve increasingly large shares of the audience need the same devices.

By participation in this study, the management of WFIU-FM has shown interest in continuing to serve current listeners while increasing and
broadening the quality of broadcast service available to other audiences within the station's coverage area. To that end, management has numerous options: increased diversity of quality musical programming; greater frequency of scheduled newscasts; utilization of musical bridges to improve program flow and increase station identification among listeners; additional promotional efforts in other media; and altering public affairs programming. All of these would help to bring broader appeal to WFIU-FM while requiring only a minimal increase in program expenditures.

Introduction of one or more of these program changes could coincide with conversion of the station to stereo. Although no data on the desirability of stereo were gathered in the present study, introduction of new programing efforts within the context of an extensive promotional campaign announcing conversion to stereo would provide a unique opportunity to increase public awareness and generate new listeners for WFIUFM.

In the final analysis, this study has demonstrated that there are programming approaches which can be utilized to increase and broaden the reach of WFIU-FM. It has further shown that the current, loyal WFIU-FM listeners are more than willing to accept a program schedule witp broader appeal and greater diversity. The complementary naturg of these findings serves to reduce the level of risk involved in the programming decisions which the management of WFIU-FM must make.
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Thank you for agreeing to particípate in this study of radio listening in Southcentral Indiana. Your name was chosen as part of a random sample, : so
it is important that your
radio listening be included in the study.
Thiss information is for research only. Under no circumstances will the names of those participating in the survey be released.

PTease carry this diary with you for the four days listed and EACH TIME YOU LISTEN TO RADIO
(1) Fill in the time you start listening and the time you stop, Be sure to use AM to indicate' morning and * PM to indicate afternoon and evening. When you change stations, please start on a new line.
(2) Check $(\checkmark)$ whether you are listening on the FM or AM radio dial. Some stations broadcast on both AM and FM. It is important to identify which you are using.
(3) Fill. in the cail letters of the station you are "listening to. If you don't know the call letters, fill in the dial setting or the name of the program.

## SATURDAY, MARCH 15



APPENDIX B
'LETIER MAILED WITH LISTMNIMG/ DIARY TO RECIPIENTS IN PROXIMATE AND REMOTE COUNTIES


ERIC

You have some favorite radio programs, and probably wish there were more programs like your favorites. Here is a chance to voice your preferences about radio programing.
The Institute for Communication Research at Indiana University is studying the way people in Squthcentral Indiana use radio. Your name ${ }^{\ell}$ was chosen as part of a small number of people being asked to participate in the study, so it isjimportant that your radio listening be included.
We fere not selling anything. "We are not affiliated with any business or. interest group; and we are not using Mdiana tax monies for this research.
Will you help us? The enclosed booklet is a ilstening. diary. The instructions indicate how to list the radio stations you listen to during a four-day period.
If you, or anyone else in your family who is over l2zyears-old, fill in this information and drop, the booklet in the mail, you will help influence radio programing in this area.
If you have any questions, please phone us collect at 812-337-3818.

Sincerely,


Enclosure
$\because$ Dor Clgortain : Don Agostáno Project Director


APPERDIX C. AUDIENCE PROGRAMIER PRÓGRAM SCHEDULE
$j$
$\begin{array}{ll}\because \\ \therefore & \\ \ddots & \\ \ddots & \end{array}$
"
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## program schedule

SUNDAY
(



## ription of radio program. mits 246-80

## Forum

scuss their views of govennment, humán interest.

201-31
oncert
the great com-

- 114.20
call-in talk show variety of subhost Jeff Gold. .
ernment
bhone in ques-
cal government


## ert of the Week

 of soloists and or esented by Na lic Radio.114.24

Considured
ertainment and airs from National dio.
er 4PM 109:10

Light-Classical Cōncert Lighter pieces by the great composers.
120 min

Music of America
Survey of American folk,. jazz, show and classical music.
60 min

## Encore

Programs of listeners'
requests.

## In Recital

Tapes of recitals by internationally known artists.
$60 \mathrm{~min} \quad: \quad 114.13$

Ėvening News
World and Indiana news, sports, and weather.
30 min after 6PM

60 min
114.11
101.02
$\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Morning } \\ & \text { Music interspersed with } \\ & \text { news, weather, annoannce- } \\ & \text { ments of events of interest. } \\ & 180 \text { min } \\ & \text { before Noon }\end{aligned}\right.$

Radio Theatre
Drama and comedy specially produced for radio.
60 min

Nonstop Rock \& Roll
An uninterrupted hour of rock \& roll featuring one performer or group.
21
214-53
Hon
Chamber Music Concerts
Produced by the Library of
Congress.
. . .___

| $1 \begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & \text { Por } \\ & \text { ar } \\ & \text { w } \\ & 60\end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Music Travelogue
Native and traditional music
of a selected culture or nation.

## 60 min

## Musiquiz

Listeners win performance tickets by phoning in correct answers to questions 5 about the music played.
30 min
30 min

Candlelight Serenade Instrumental mysic for
dining. dining.
90 min 90 min
$214 \cdot 61$

In-Programming your station:

1. Use only programs you want.
2. Programs over 30 min in length can be reduced by half-hour segments.
3. Two stamps allow you to desig a progran of your choice.
$?$

The audience programmer presents a group of stamps representing radio programs. You select from them the programs that most interest and appeal to you, and then schedule these programs by placing the stamps in the schedule of three days of ${ }^{-}$ radio time.

Choose the programs you would like to hear, not those you think a radio station i should broadcast for the general audience.

1. READ the program descriptions, noting those of interest and discarding those that do not appeal to you. You may write on the program stamps to help rank your favorites.
2. SELECT only the programs you want to schedule during the three days. You need not use all the program stamps offered, and a program can be repeated though it is only listed on one stamp.
3. If you want to include a type of program not given in the choice of stamps, DESIGN two of your own programs. The stamps in the lower right side of the sheet are for this purpose. Programs of your own description can also be repeated in your schedule.
4. TEAR apart the stamps on the perforated lines, setting aside your discarded programs.
progRRANGE the programs to be scheduled on the schedule sheet. A 30-minute minutes in length can be scheduled for any number of half-hour segments up to the maximum noted on the, stamp. A 60 -minute program scheduled for the full 60 minutes is inserted in the first half-hour block with an arrow showing that , the program continues through the second half-hour, and so on as shown in the sample schedule.
5. INDICATE fepeated or duplicated programs by writing the code number in the additional time blocks. For exampm, to schedule "Pop Classics" from 6 to 7 o' qlock PM Sunday and from 4 to $50^{\prime}$ clock PM Monday and Tuesday, put the program stamp in the proper time block for-Sunday, and write the code number (214-60) of the program in the appropriate time block for Monday and Tuesdaty. The Monday entry is shown in the sample.
6. STICK the gummed stamps to the chosen space in the schedule when you have finished your scheduling. you should have a program listed (by stamp, arrow or number) for each time period for all three days even theugh you may not liften to the radio during many of these time periods.
7. FINISH your program şchedule by writłing "news" in the grey areas on the hour of the schedule to indicate at what times you wish to schedule a 5 -minute update of news, and weather. This is also shown in the sample.


Thank you for agreeing to participate in this study of radio listening. With your help we witl learn what radio programs listeners in Monroe County prefer and at what țime of the day the programs should be broadcast.

This material includes:

1) A sheet of gurmed, perforated stamps.

Each represents a radio program. On it
is a program title, description, length and code number.
2) A large schedule sheet divided into half-hour time blocks for each of three days-a Sunday, Monday and a Tuesday.
3) A list of instructions for putting your choice of radio programs (stamps) onto the schedule sheet, and
4) A postạge-paid return envelope.

Please follow the instructions carefully. If you have any questions, please phoṇe us.at 337-3818.

Return the entire completed schedule sheet by June 14. Be sure to FILL IN THE RETURN ADDRESS ON,THE ENVELOPE so we will know where to send your dollar.

Thank you. This is one of the few times you will be able to directly influence what programs are available on radio. And we think you will enjoy this exercise in radio programming.

Sincerely,
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